Blazar origin of some IceCube events

Luis Salvador Miranda, Alberto Rosales de León, Sarira Sahu
Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, C.U., A. Postal 70-543, 04510 Mexico DF, Mexico

Recently ANTARES collaboration presented a time dependent analysis to a selected number of flaring blazars to look for upward going muon events produced from the charge current interaction of the muon neutrinos. We use the same list of flaring blazars to look for possible positional correlation with the IceCube neutrino events. We observed that six FSRQs and two BL Lac objects from the list are within the error circles of eight IceCube events. We also observed that three FSRQs are within the error circles of more than one event. In the context of photohadronic model we propose that these neutrinos are produced within the nuclear region of the blazar where Fermi accelerated high energy protons interact with the background synchrotron/SSC photons.

arXiv:1510.00048v1 [astro-ph.HE] 30 Sep 2015

INTRODUCTION

Interaction of ultra high energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) with the background medium (photons and protons) produce high energy γ-rays and neutrinos. On their way to Earth the UHECRs can be deflected in the magnetic field and the high energy γ-rays can be absorbed. So both of these heavenly messengers will lose their directionality. On the other hand neutrinos will be directly pointing to the source, that is why neutrinos are considered as ideal cosmic messengers.

The IceCube detector located at South Pole in Antarctic ice is precisely built to look for high energy neutrinos (above few TeV) by measuring the Cherenkov radiation of the secondary particles created in each neutrino event. The energy deposited by each event, their direction and topology can be calculated from the trail of the observed Cherenkov light. In 2012 the IceCube Collaboration published two years of data (2010-2012) in which 28 neutrino events with energies between 30 to 1200 TeV were observed[1]. Twenty one of these events are shower-like and the rest are muon tracks. In this analysis two events were PeV neutrino shower events. Adding a third year of analysis in a total 988-days data revealed a total of 37 events, of which 9 are track events and the rest are shower events[2]. The shower events have large angular errors (an average of 15°) than the track events (about 1°). These events have flavors, directions and energies inconsistent with those expected from the atmospheric muon and neutrino backgrounds. So the study of arrival directions are helpful to find sources of high energy neutrinos and the relevant acceleration mechanism acting within the source.

This year the IceCube collaboration has reported the detection of the highest-energy event for a diffuse flux of astrophysical neutrinos since 2009[3]. It is an up going muon event with an energy of 2.6 ± 0.3 PeV and a probability less than 0.01 % of an atmospheric origin (ID 38, R.A. 110.34°, Dec. 11.48°). It is the fourth PeV event in the search for ultra high energy extraterrestrial sources and the first of track like in this energy range. This new piece of the puzzle encourages us to keep looking the most likely astrophysical sources where these neutrinos originate in the most extreme environments.

The isotropic distribution of these IceCube neutrino events suggest at least some extragalactic sources. There exist different types of potential astrophysical sources to produce UHECRs and hence high energy neutrinos and γ-rays and the list includes: Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs)[4], core of active galactic nuclei (AGN)[5], high energy peaked blazars (HBLs)[6–8], starburst galaxies[9] and sources from Galactic center[10]. In Ref.[6] many positional correlations of BL Lac objects and galactic pulsar wind nebulae with the IceCube events are shown. There are also nonstandard physics interpretations of these IceCube events from the decay of superheavy dark matter particles, leptoquark interaction and decay of exotic neutrinos[11] (See [12] for a recent review).

Recently ANTARES collaboration presented a time dependent analysis[13] to look for upward going muon tracks by charge current interaction of ν_μ from flaring blazars selected from the Fermi-LAT and TeV γ-ray observed by ground based telescopes H.E.S.S, MAGIC and VERITAS respectively. In this analysis the most significant correlation was found with a GeV flare blazar from the Fermi-LAT blazars. But the post-trial probability estimate shows that the event was compatible with background fluctuations. In this work we would like to analyze the above list of Fermi-LAT flaring blazars to see if there is any spatial correlation with the IceCube neutrino events. We use the unbinned maximum likelihood method (MLM) for our analysis of the positional correlation of these objects. We have shown that six FSRQs and two BL Lac objets are within the error circles of eight IceCube events.

CANDIDATES

Blazars are believed to be the most likely candidates to produce UHECRs and neutrinos. These are extragalactic objects characterized by relativistic jets with a small viewing angle with respect to the line of sight and

are powered by a supermassive black hole in the center of their respective galaxy. These objects are also efficient accelerators of particles through shock or diffusive Fermi acceleration processes with a power-law spectrum given as $dN/dE \propto E^{-\kappa}$, with the power index $\kappa \geq 2$[14]. Protons can reach ultra high energy through the above acceleration mechanisms. Fractions of these particles escaping from the source can constitute the UHECRs arriving on Earth. These objects also produce high energy γ-rays and neutrinos through pp and/or $p\gamma$ interactions [15]. The classification for these sources are according to the properties of their emission lines: if a strong broad emission line in the optical spectrum is present, it is classified as Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar (FSRQ), otherwise is a BL Lacerate (BL Lac) object. Depending on the frequency of the first peak, the BL Lac objects are further classified into low (LBL), intermediate (IBL) and high energy (HBL) peaked objects.

The ANTARES collaboration searched for high energy cosmic muon neutrinos using the data taken during the period August 2008 to December 2012. The collaboration selected 41 very bright and variable Fermi-LAT blazars with significant time variability and having the flux $> 10^{-9}$ photons. $cm^{-2}\,s^{-1}$ for the γ-ray energy above 1 GeV. They have also selected seven TeV flaring objects reported by H.E.S.S., MAGIC and VERITAS telescopes with the expectation that the TeV γ-rays may be correlated with the neutrino events. From the 41 Fermi blazar list, 33 are FSRQs, 7 are BL Lacs and one is unknown. Similarly from the list of 7 TeV flaring blazars one is FSRQ and six are HBLs. It shows that both FSRQs and HBLs are probable sources of very high energy neutrinos and can be possible sources for some of the IceCube event. It is suggested that UHECRs are accelerated in the inner jet of FSRQ and interact with the background from the broad-line region (BLR), synchrotron radiation or the photon from accretion disk[16–18].

In a previous article[8] we proposed that photohadronic interactions of the Fermi accelerated high energy protons with the background photons in the nuclear region of the HBLs and AGN are responsible for some of the IceCube events. These objects were observed in multi-TeV range and some had also flaring. In this model it is assumed that the flaring of blazar in high energy γ-ray occurs within a compact and confined region with a comoving radius R'_f inside the blob of radius R'_b[19] (henceforth $'$ implies jet comoving frame). In the inner region, the photon density $n'_{\gamma,f}$ is very high compared to the photon density n'_γ in the outer region i.e. $n'_{\gamma,f} \gg n'_\gamma$. Fermi accelerated high energy protons undergo photohadronic interaction with the seed photons in the inner region in the self-synchrotron Compton (SSC) regime through the intermediate Δ-resonance. On the other hand, in a normal blazar jet, the photohadronic process is not an efficient mechanism to produce multi-TeV γ-rays and neutrinos because n'_γ is low, which makes the optical depth $\tau_{p\gamma} \ll 1$. But the assumption of compact inner jet region overcome this problem where the optical depth of the Δ-resonance process is $\tau_{p\gamma} = n'_{\gamma,f}\sigma_\Delta R'_f$ and $n'_{\gamma,f}$ is unknown. We can estimate the photon density in this region by assuming that the Eddington luminosity is equally shared by the jet and the counter jet in the blazar. For a given comoving photon energy ϵ'_γ in the synchrotron/SSC regime we can get the upper limit on the photon density as $n'_{\gamma,f} \ll L_{Edd}/(8\pi R'^2_f \epsilon'_\gamma)$. Also by comparing the proton energy loss time scale $t'_{p\gamma} \simeq (0.5\, n'_{\gamma,f}\sigma_\Delta)^{-1}$ and the dynamical time scale $t'_d = R'_f$ we can estimate $n'_{\gamma,f}$, so that the production of multi-TeV γ-rays and neutrinos take place. Not to have over production of neutrinos and γ-rays, we can assume a moderate efficiency (a few percents) by taking $\tau_{p\gamma} < 1$ which gives $n'_{\gamma,f} < (\sigma_\Delta R'_f)^{-1}$. In this work we assume 1% energy loss of the UHE protons in the inner region on the dynamical time scale t'_d corresponding to a optical depth of $\tau_{p\gamma} \sim 0.01$ and $n'_{\gamma,f} \sim 2 \times 10^{10}\, R'^{-1}_{f,15}\, cm^{-3}$. Here the inner blob radius R'_f is expressed as $R'_f = 10^{15} R'_{f,15}\, cm$ and $R'_{f,15} \sim 1$[8].

In the photohadronic interaction, the intermediate Δ-resonance produced will give both high energy neutrinos and γ-rays and relation between the seed photon and the neutrino energy is given by

$$E_\nu \epsilon_\gamma = 0.016 \frac{\Gamma\delta}{(1+z)^2} GeV^2, \tag{1}$$

where E_ν and ϵ_γ are respectively the observed neutrino energy and the background photon energy. The source is located at a redshift z and the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet is Γ. The Doppler factor is given by δ. But for FSRQ and BL Lac objects $\Gamma \simeq \delta$. So if z and Γ of a blazar are known we can estimate the ϵ_γ from the given E_ν. The neutrino flux is given as[20]

$$F_\nu = \sum_\alpha \int_{E_{\nu 1}(1+z)}^{E_{\nu 2}(1+z)} dE_\nu E_\nu J_{\nu_\alpha}(E_\nu), \tag{2}$$

where for all neutrino flavors α, a power-law spectrum of the form

$$J_{\nu_\alpha}(E_\nu) = A_{\nu_\alpha} \left(\frac{E_\nu}{100\, TeV}\right)^{-\kappa} \tag{3}$$

is taken. The normalization constant A_{ν_α} is given by

$$A_{\nu_\alpha} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{N_\nu}{T\Sigma_\alpha \int_{E_{\nu_1}}^{E_{\nu_2}} dE_\nu A_{eff,\alpha}(E_\nu) \left(\frac{E_\nu}{100 TeV}\right)^{-\kappa}}, \tag{4}$$

where N_ν is the number of neutrino events and $A_{eff,\alpha}$ is the effective area for different neutrino flavors. The energy integrals are done in the limit 25 TeV to 2.2 PeV. The time period $T = 988$ days is used[1] for the calculation of normalization constant. For the luminosity distance d_L calculation we take the Hubble constant $H_0 = 69.6\, km\, s^{-1}\, Mpc^{-1}$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.286$ and $\Omega_m = 0.714$.

UNBINNED MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD METHOD

To identify the possible sources of IceCube events we employ the Unbinned Maximum Likelihood Method (MLM)[21] to find spatial correlation between the blazar sample under consideration and the IceCube events. The signal and the background weights are not separable for an object and both contribute to the likelihood function, which is given by the product of the individual probability densities for the IceCube events as[22]

$$\mathcal{L}(n_s, \vec{x}_s) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{n_s}{N} S_i(\vec{x}_s) + \left(1 - \frac{n_s}{N}\right) B_i \right], \qquad (5)$$

where N is the number of IceCube events we take into account, n_s is the number of signal events for a source with coordinates $\vec{x}_s$, which is a free parameter between 0 and N. The isotropic background probability distribution function (PDF) is given as $B_i = (4\pi)^{-1}$. The $S_i(\mathbf{x}_s)$ takes into account the contribution for the astrophysical components with the signal and is defined as

$$S_i(\vec{x}_s) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_i^2} e^{-\frac{|x_i - x_s|^2}{2\sigma_i^2}}, \qquad (6)$$

which is a Gaussian function[23]. In the above Eq.(6), $|x_i - x_s|^2$ is the space angle difference between the source and the reconstructed event direction, and σ_i is their respective median angular error.

Here we only consider the spatial dependence of the signal PDF, whereas ANTARES analysis takes into account both the temporal and energy dependence of the flaring events. The observed IceCube events can be modeled due of two hypothesis: (1) the events could be produced by atmospheric neutrinos, or (2) by an external source of astrophysical origin. A good test of compatibility is the ratio of these two hypothesis. So we can take the ratio of the value of the likelihood with the background of unique weight ($n_s = 0$) and the value of the maximized likelihood of the second hypothesis with the corresponding n_s values defined as $n_s = n_s^*$. Now to evaluate each point source we use this Test Statistic (TS) likelihood ratio method, taking minus twice the log of the likelihood ratio,

$$TS = -2 \log \left[\frac{\mathcal{L}(n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(n_s = n_s^*)} \right]. \qquad (7)$$

Larger values of TS show that the data is more compatible with an astrophysical origin and the maximizing of this ratio estimates the number of signal events for a specific location. For this procedure we use a full-sky IceCube events. For our present analysis, from the reported thirty seven events, we discard five track events (with IDs 3,8,18,28,32) which are consistent with the background muon events [2], and two shower events (with IDs 25,34) that have angular median error $> 40^\circ$. So we are left with a final sample of 4 track and 26 shower in total 30 events. Moreover, we calculate the final significance of each source location, running 100,000 simulations in which the declination of each IceCube sample event is fixed but the right ascension is randomized. For each simulation we obtain a $TS_{(sim)}$ number. The p-value is calculated as the number of the simulations that has $TS_{(sim)} \geq TS$ over the total number of simulations. A good estimates is given when the p-value is ≤ 0.1



FIG. 1. The sky map is shown in the galactic coordinates with the 38 IceCube events and their individual errors (only for shower events). Here + are shower events and × sign are track events with their corresponding event ID. We have also shown the positions of the HBLs with their names which are within the error circle of the IceCube events.

RESULTS

In the context of recent IceCube results, we analyzed the 41 flaring blazars taken from the Fermi-LAT catalog which are previously studied by the ANTARES collaboration to look for possible temporal and spatial correlation. We have also analyzed the 7 TeV flaring objects as discussed by ANTARES collaboration for the possible spatial correlation with the IceCube events. In fact all these 7 objects are there in the TeVCat[24] which we had already analyzed in Ref.[8] and found that the only HBL, PG 1553+113 has the positional correlation with the IceCube event 17. So we don't discuss about these 7 flaring objects here any more.

Object (RA,Dec.)	Type z, Γ	ID	E_ν/TeV	ϵ_γ/keV	n_s^*	TS	p-value	$\delta\chi^2$	$F_\nu \times 10^{-9}$
PKS0208-512 (32.7,-51.2)	FSRQ 1.003, 18	7	34.3	37.67	0.43	0.03	0.45	0.29	2.49
PKS0235-618 (39.29,-61.62)	FSRQ 0.467,10	7,20	34.3, 1141	21.68,0.65	1.57	0.62	0.20	0.80,0.27	2.91
PKS1830-211 (278.41,-21.08)	FSRQ 2.507,17	2,24	117, 30.5	3.21,12.33	4.03	2.19	0.02	0.10,0.20	1.88
PMNJ2345-1555 (356.27,-15.89)	FSRQ 0.621,13-16	21	30.2	34.07-51.62	0.26	0.01	0.44	0.48	2.76
PKS1730-13 (263.28,-13.13)	FSRQ 0.902,-	2,36	117, 28.9	-	3.11	1.35	0.07	0.85, 0.97	2.55
PMNJ2331-2148 (352.75 ,-21.74)	FSRQ 0.563,12	21	30.2	31.23	0.83	0.14	0.33	0.52	2.82
OJ287 (133.85,20.09)	BL Lac 0.306, 12	26	210	6.43	0.81	0.18	0.07	0.62	3.08
PKS0805-07 (122.06,-7.85)	BL Lac 1.837,15	27	60.2	7.43	1.13	0.78	0.07	0.52	2.09

TABLE I. The FSRQs and BL Lac objects which are in the error circles of the IceCube events (ID in third column) are given in the first column. Below each object we also put their coordinates, Right Ascension and Declination (R.A., Dec.) in degrees. The second column gives the type of object and below this we also give its redshift (z) and the bulk Lorentz factor (Γ). In the fourth and fifth columns the observed neutrino energy E_ν/TeV and the corresponding seed photon energy ϵ_γ/keV are given. In columns sixth and seventh the values of the n_s^* and TS are given from the Maximum Likelihood Method for the respective objects. In columns eighth and nineth the p-value and the $\delta\chi^2$ value for each source is given. The diffuse neutrino flux F_ν in units of $F_\nu = 10^{-9}\, F_{\nu,-9}\, GeV\, cm^{-2}\, s^{-1}\, sr^{-1}$ is given in the last column.



FIG. 2. The sky map is shown in the galactic coordinates. The nearest object to the event 38 is the FSRQ 4C+14.23 (PKS 0722+145, R.A. 111.33°, Dec. 14.44°) is at a angular distance of 3.1°. For reference we have also given the next rearest neighbor VERJ0648+152 a HBL at a angular distance of 8.78°.

From the 41 Fermi blazars, six FSRQs and two BL Lac objects are within the error circles of 8 IceCube events which are shown in Table I. Also all the relevant parameters of these 8 objects are summarized in the same table. The bulk Lorentz factor Γ of the FSRQ, PKS1730-13 is not known, so for this object we don't estimate the ϵ_γ. Also for the FSRQ, PMNJ2345-1555, the Γ is in the range $13 - 16$ and we use both the limits to estimate the ϵ_γ. All the 37 IceCube events with their individual errors are shown in the sky map with galactic coordinates in Fig. 1. Recently observed track event No. 38 is also shown in the map. The positions of six FSRQs and two BL Lac objects are also shown in the sky map. The FSRQs, PKS035-618, PKS1830-211 and PKS1730-13 are within the error circles of the IceCube events (7,20), (2,24) and (2,36) respectively. More than one object is there within the error circle of the events 2, 7 and 21 which are shown in the Table 1 and also shown in the sky map. Also we observed that the background photon energy ϵ_γ for all the events are below ~ 50 keV which shows that the photon density $n'_{\gamma,f}$ can be large in the inner region of the jet. By assuming a conservative 1% energy loss by the UHE protons we get the photon density in the inner region $n'_{\gamma,f} \sim 2 \times 10^{10}\, cm^{-3}$ when the inner region has a radius $R'_f \sim 10^{15}\, cm$. Estimate of R'_f value depends on the outer blob radius R'_b, while the later parameter is adjusted to fit the spectral energy distribution (SED) in the leptonic model of the objects. However, for most of the objects $R'_b > 10^{15}$ cm is taken to fit the SED[8]. So, here we take $R'_f \sim 10^{15}\, cm$ for the estimation of $n'_{\gamma,f}$.

All these objects have $\delta\chi^2 < 1$. Our simulation shows that most of the objects have TS value < 1. The n_s^* value corresponds to the number of IceCube events generated by the source. For example the FSRQ, PKS1830-

211 can generate about 4 IceCube events and the object PMNJ2345-1555 can generate less than a event in the IceCube detector. Four objects have p-value ≤ 0.07 corresponding to the confidence level (CL) of the positional correlation with the IceCube events $\geq 93\%$. The FSRQ, PKS1830-211 has the smallest p-value 0.02 corresponding to a CL of 98%. We observed that by varying the value of κ between 2.5 and 3.08, the flux does vary much. So we fix its value to 2.5 and the neutrino flux for all these objects lie in the range $1.88 \times 10^{-9}\, GeV\, cm^{-2}\, s^{-1} \leq F_\nu \leq 3.0810^{-9}\, GeV\, cm^{-2}\, s^{-1}$.

The recently announced multi-PeV ν_μ event by IceCube has an angular error $< 1^\circ$. We looked for the positional correlation of this event with possible sources. The nearest object is found to be 4C+14.23 which is a FSRQ at a redshift of $z = 1.038$ and at an angular distance of 3.1°. This FSRQ was also observed by Fermi-LAT in GeV flare on 13th of October 2009. We show this FSRQ along with the event 38 in Fig. 2.

DISCUSSION

ANTARES collaboration looked for possible temporal and spatial correlation of 41 flaring objects selected from the Fermi-LAT catalog. We analysed the same objects for the possible spatial correlation with the IceCube events. From these flaring objects, we found that six FSRQs and two BL Lac objects are within the error circles of eight IceCube events. We observed that three FSRQs are within the error circles of more than one IceCube events. We used the unbinned MLM for the analysis of the positional correlation of these objects. Assuming that the photohadronic model is responsible for the production of these neutrino events, we estimated the energy dependent background photon density in the inner region of the jet. For each object we have also calculated the neutrino flux. The recently reported highest energy IceCube event is a track event with energy 2.6 PeV. We found the nearest flaring FSRQ, 4C+14.23 to event 38 at a angular distance of 3.1° which was also observed by Fermi-LAT when it was flaring in GeV energy[25]. Many more years of data and constant monitoring of the candidate sources are necessary to confirm or refute the spatial correlation.

We thank S. Mohanty for many useful comments and discussions. The work of S. S. is partially supported by DGAPA-UNAM (Mexico) Projects No. IN110815.

[1] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* [IceCube Collaboration], Science **342**, 1242856 (2013).
[2] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 101101 (2014).
[3] Schoenen, S., & Raedel, L. 2015, The Astronomer's Telegram, 7856, 1.
[4] K. Murase and K. Ioka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 12, 121102 (2013).
[5] W. Winter, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 083007 (2013).
[6] P. Padovani and E. Resconi, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **443**, 474 (2014).
[7] F. Krauß *et al.*, Astron. Astrophys. **566**, L7 (2014).
[8] S. Sahu and L. S. Miranda, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 6, 273 (2015).
[9] K. Murase, M. Ahlers and B. C. Lacki, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 12, 121301 (2013).
[10] S. Razzaque, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 081302 (2013).
[11] C. Y. Chen, P. S. B. Dev and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 033012 (2014).
[12] L. A. Anchordoqui, V. Barger, I. Cholis, H. Goldberg, D. Hooper, A. Kusenko, J. G. Learned and D. Marfatia *et al.*, Journal of High Energy Astrophysics **1-2**, 1 (2014).
[13] S. Adrian-Martinez *et al.* [ANTARES Collaboration], arXiv:1506.07354 [astro-ph.HE].
[14] C. D. Dermer and R. Schlickeiser, Astrophys. J. **416**, 458 (1993).
[15] M. Kachelriess, S. Ostapchenko and R. Tomas, New J. Phys. **11**, 065017 (2009).
[16] B. Wang and Z. Li, arXiv:1505.04418 [astro-ph.HE].
[17] K. Murase, Y. Inoue and C. D. Dermer, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 2, 023007 (2014).
[18] C. D. Dermer, K. Murase and Y. Inoue, JHEAp **3-4**, 29 (2014).
[19] S. Sahu, A. F. O. Oliveros and J. C. Sanabria, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 103015 (2013).
[20] R. Moharana and S. Razzaque, JCAP **1508**, no. 08, 014 (2015).
[21] F. James, "Statistical methods in experimental physics," Hackensack, USA: World Scientific (2006) 345 p.
[22] J. Braun, J. Dumm, F. De Palma, C. Finley, A. Karle and T. Montaruli, Astropart. Phys. **29**, 299 (2008).
[23] T. Neunhoffer, Astropart. Phys. **25**, 220 (2006).
[24] See the website: http://tevcat.uchicago.edu/.
[25] Tanaka, Y. T., Donato, D., Cutini, S., Gasparrini, D., & Cheung, C. C. 2009, The Astronomer's Telegram, 2253, 1.